

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,956	10/10/2001	Xuehai Ye	64688/152	6226	
7	590 10/01/2003	4	EXAM	INER	
Law Offices of Dr. Melvin Blecher		, ,	ANGELL	ANGELL, JON E	
4329 Van Ness Washington, D	s St., NW OC 20016-5625		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, -			1635	12	
		4.71	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicalit(5)	
Advisory Action	09/972,956	YE ET AL.	
navicoly nearly	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Eric Angell	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica atimely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper repling places the application	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17 (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	••		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>\$28</u>		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449)		
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , ,		
		J. Eric Angell	

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants arguments rely on references submitted with an after final IDS (PTO-1449). However, the information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 8/19/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). Furthermore, the IDS filed 8/19/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). The has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Additionally, Applicants have not cancelled non-elected claim 17 as required and noted in the previous Office Action. Applicants are reminded that all non-elected claims must be cancelled in order for an after final reply to be considered responsive. It is noted that the Applicants indicated that claim 17 was "withdrawn" in the communication filed 8/19/2003, however "withdrawn" does not indicate that the claim is cancelled. Cancellation of non-elected claim 17 is required in order for any after final reply to be considered responsive. For these reasons, applicants after final reply is not considered persuasive and the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record.

DAVET. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER